

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION**

NADIA LOFTON,

Plaintiff,

V.

DR. HAWKINS, ET AL.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL CASE NO. 3:19-CV-1231-N-BK

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

The United States Magistrate Judge made Findings, Conclusions, and a Recommendation in this case. No objections were filed. On January 17, 2020, 31 days after the filing of the Recommendation, Plaintiff filed a *Motion to Reconsider*, asserting that the complaint was mailed in a timely manner by another individual, but that it was sent to the wrong federal agency. Doc. 18. However, Plaintiff's allegations are conclusory, self-serving and wholly unsubstantiated.

The Court has reviewed the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation for plain error. Finding none, the Court **ACCEPTS** the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that that this action is summarily **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). Plaintiff's *Motion to Reconsider* is **DENIED**.

The Court prospectively **CERTIFIES** that any appeal of this action would not be taken in good faith. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3); FED. R. APP. P. 24(a)(3). In support of this certification, the Court adopts and incorporates by reference the Magistrate Judge's Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation. *See Baugh v. Taylor*, 117 F.3d 197, 202 and n.21 (5th Cir.

1997). Based on the Findings and Recommendation, the Court finds that any appeal of this action would present no legal point of arguable merit and would, therefore, be frivolous.

Howard v. King, 707 F.2d 215, 220 (5th Cir. 1983) (per curiam).¹ In the event of an appeal, Plaintiff may challenge this certification by filing a separate motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal with the Clerk of the Court, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. *See Baugh*, 117 F.3d at 202; FED. R. APP. P. 24(a)(5).

SO ORDERED this 28th day of January, 2020.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a) governs the time to appeal an order. A timely notice of appeal must be filed even if the court certifies an appeal as not taken in good faith.